

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/767,145		BATTEN ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Christopher Upton		1724		

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Howard MacCord Jr.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that the response to the restriction requirement should have elected Group II instead of Group I, that the claim indicated, 44 was correct. It was agreed to cancel the non-elected claims to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)